

(12) **UK Patent Application** (19) **GB** (11) **2 289 150** (13) **A**

(43) Date of A Publication 08.11.1995

(21) Application No 9408169.2

(22) Date of Filing 25.04.1994

(71) Applicant(s)  
**University of Hertfordshire**

**(Incorporated in the United Kingdom)**

**College Lane, Hatfield, Herts, AL10 9AB,  
United Kingdom**

(72) Inventor(s)  
**Paul Henry Kaye  
Mark Christopher Tracey  
John Ashley Gordon**

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service  
**Sommerville & Rushton  
11 Holywell Hill, ST ALBANS, Herts, AL1 1EZ,  
United Kingdom**

(51) INT CL<sup>5</sup>  
**G06K 1/12 , G08B 15/02**

(52) UK CL (Edition N )  
**G4H HJ H14D  
U1S S1103 S1152 S1340 S1357 S1820 S1828 S2132  
S2188 S2271**

(56) Documents Cited  
**US 4767205 A US 4606927 A**

(58) Field of Search  
**UK CL (Edition M ) G4H HJ  
INT CL<sup>5</sup> G06K , G08B  
ONLINE DATABASES : WPI**

(54) **Coded items for labelling objects**

(57) A microparticle is invisible to the naked eye and is marked with a machine readable code.  
A tagging compound comprises a powder, fluid or gas mixed with one or more sets of microparticles of which each has a predetermined shape representative of a unique code selected from a multiplicity of such codes, such that the presence of the microparticles is undetectable to the naked eye. Each microparticle may also be marked with a binary code.

The invention is for security marking.

**GB 2 289 150 A**

Coded Items for Labelling Objects

This invention relates to coded items for labelling objects such as vehicles, credit cards and jewellery, and is particularly useful for the invisible labelling of such objects with security marks to enable the objects to be identified or their origin to be identified.

Many methods are employed to protect merchantable items from theft or forgery. Car chassis and engines have serial numbers, credit cards have holographic icons, etc. Ultimately, all these devices can be defeated by either removal or replication. Ideally, an item would be marked with a security device which was impossible to remove or replicate, or where the effort required to remove or replicate it exceeded the value of the item itself.

The invention provides a microparticle marked with a readable code which is invisible to the naked eye.

The invention also provides a set of a multitude of substantially identically-encoded microparticles each of the above type. The invention also provides a tagging compound, for example a paint, ink, fluid dye or smoke dye, including such a set of microparticles or more than one such set.

The invention also provides a method of marking an object invisibly with a machine-readable code, comprising applying to the object a set of microparticles of the above type.

By applying such microparticles to an item, the item can be marked extensively or even covered without detracting from its aesthetic or practical purpose.

Preferably, the microparticle is in the form of a wafer whose thickness is from  $0.1\mu$  to  $5\mu$  and whose width and length are both in the range of  $0.5\mu$  to  $50\mu$ ; preferably, the microparticle is of silicon or silicon dioxide. Such  
5 particles can be made by micromachining.

Silicon micromachining is a process developed from the electronics industry. The processes and techniques used in silicon micromachining are based largely upon the highly refined fabrication technology used in semiconductor  
10 manufacture - with the objective in micromachining being the creation of microscopic physical or mechanical structures on silicon wafer substrates as opposed to electronic circuitry.

It has been shown in The Production of Precision Silicon Micromachined Non-spherical Particles for Aerosol  
15 Studies - Kaye, P.H., Micheli, F., Tracey, M., Hirst, E., and Gundlach, A.M. Journal of Aerosol Science. Vol. 23, Supplement 1, pp 201-204, 1992, that extremely uniform microscopic particles of silicon or silicon dioxide (glass) can be made using the process of silicon micromachining.  
20 These particles may be of dimensions from about  $0.5$  to  $50\mu\text{m}$  or more across, and from about  $0.1$  to  $5\mu\text{m}$  thick. (A printed period mark by comparison is typically  $500\mu\text{m}$  across). The shapes of the particles are designed using a computer-aided-design (CAD) programme and may be of  
25 virtually any desired form within the limitations mentioned above. A single silicon wafer of normally  $7.5\text{cm}$  (3 inch) or  $20\text{cm}$  (8 inch) diameter is used as the substrate onto which the desired particle shapes are projected using an optical

mask or directly drawn using so-called e-beam writing. The particles are subsequently formed on the wafer using the deposition and etching processes of silicon micromachining. Typically 200 million particles can be formed on a 7.5cm (3  
5 inch) wafer, each of the particles accurately defined in size and shape. Normally all the particles on one wafer are designed to be of identical size and shape so that when the particles are freed from the wafer substrate (using a further etching process) one is left with a suspension  
10 containing a single particle type.

Because the particles can be made to a uniform and predetermined shape they can be used as identifying markers. Thus their shape alone can be the unique identification. However, in the preferred example, apart from the shape of  
15 each particle being defined, each particle is marked with pits, holes, notches, or other marks so that it is characterised by a unique mark. The marks may form a binary code or some other encrypted coding which only the designer of the particle may have access to. Each particle could then  
20 carry a code of typically several hundred binary 'bits' of information.

In order unambiguously to optically image a unique binary number etched in the form of pits, holes, etc. forming a pattern on the microparticle, it is necessary for  
25 each constituent mark which represents a binary bit of the number to satisfy Abbe's Condition for the microscopic imaging system in question. With white light illumination and a microscope objective of Numerical Aperture 0.5 the bit

spacing should typically exceed approximately 1.2 micrometres. Such an objective, in reflector form, can display a working distance of approximately 16mm and a usable depth of field of approximately 5 micrometres. Such  
5 a microscope would be suitable for the analysis of objects such as credit cards or identity cards. Microscopic analysis of larger objects would either require a sample to be removed for analysis (for instance a paint sample from an automobile) or would require the design of a microscope  
10 mounting specific to that application (for instance a magnetic mounting or precisely defined objective to base distance such that when held on a plane it is in focus).

An alternative to microscopic analysis would be offered by the employment of a scanning system (analogous to a very  
15 high resolution 'bar code reader') employing a laser beam and appropriate optics to produce a narrow, collimated beam in conjunction with electronics to control the beam and interpret the interaction between the beam and the object under scrutiny. Such a system could offer a focused working  
20 distance range sufficient to allow hand-held scrutinisation instruments to be employed.

Additionally the particles should be patterned in such a manner as to ensure that ambiguous pattern interpretation cannot occur in the case of 90, 180 or 270 degree rotation  
25 from the intended viewing orientation; ambiguous interpretation due to imaging the microparticle's incorrect face should also be precluded. The addition of unique corner patterns could be employed to achieve this.

A particle meeting this design constraint, when imaged by a microscope, will form an image on the imaging element of a video camera. This image, in electronic form, can be digitised and processed by a computer using image processing software. Numerous conventional algorithms can be employed by this software to uniquely identify the morphology of the imaged microparticle. Their operation would typically involve:

(i) Delineating the object image from its background. This operation could be performed by a general purpose commercial image processing package such as Optimas or Visilog.

(ii) Interpreting the morphology of the object in order to ascertain the pattern of marks and hence the unique binary number. This operation would probably employ custom-written software to interpret the data produced by (i).

A suspension of particles, all having identical code markings, may then be used to uniquely identify an object and thus act as a security tag. Examination of the particles on the object can be achieved for example with an optical reader similar to (though of higher resolution) than a bar-code reader found in supermarkets, and the code contained on the particles then identifies the rightful ownership of the object.

For example, an item of jewellery such as a gold necklace could be coated in part or whole with a transparent lacquer containing a suspension of particles. The lacquer would dry to become invisible, and the particles contained, though invisible to the human eye, could nevertheless be

viewed using a suitable magnifying device so as to reveal the hidden identity code. To avoid the possibility of the lacquer being removed by a solvent (thus removing the particles as well), the particles could be stamped into the jewellery at the time of hallmarking, thus becoming essentially part of the item itself, resilient to removal without totally removing the hallmarks (which would normally significantly reduce the value of the item).

Another example could be the unique marking of credit cards and similar 'plastic' devices for electronic financial transactions, or paper currency or security bonds etc. The cards could be marked at some point(s) with an 'ink' containing the particles. Again the particles would each carry a copy of a unique coding tag which could be traceable to the rightful owner of the card. An imaging system, again like a bar-code reader, could be used to 'read' the data on the particles and ascertain the authority of ownership. Removal of the ink and particles would render the card invalid.

Another example would be to apply the particles (all having the same code) with the top layer of paint or varnish onto a motor car. The particles, invisible to the naked eye, would not detract from the appearance of the vehicle. By coating the whole vehicle, inner facing panels included, with this coded paint, a potential thief would have to remove all the paint from the vehicle to remove all the particles in order to prevent its true identification becoming known. Such a process, and subsequent repainting,

would involve so much labour as to render the original theft non-profitable. Typically, one particle per square millimetre of surface area would be required to coat the vehicle. This may amount to 20 million particles per  
5 vehicle, i.e. corresponding to approximately one-tenth of a 7.5cm (3 inch) wafer's worth.

A further example would be to incorporate the particles in so-called security smoke devices. These devices are found for example in hole-in-the-wall cash machines and  
10 armoured vehicles. They release automatically a smoke dye to cover the currency and possibly the thief when disturbed. The particles would also cover the currency and thief and, because they would carry a unique code, would provide a means of linking a specific item of currency or person to  
15 the specific incident.

Any item could in theory be marked in this way to provide identifying security marks. The particles have many advantages including that (i) they can be made identically and in huge numbers by the process of micromachining - they  
20 can be made if desired in silicon dioxide, i.e. glass (coloured if required) and as such be impervious to most acids etc., (ii) their production, e.g. through the process of micromachining, is non-trivial and requires highly specialist equipment and skills, thus unauthorised  
25 replication of the particles would be very difficult to achieve, and (iii) they are essentially invisible to the naked eye.

If more information is required to identify an article,



a mixture of two or more sets of differently-coded particles could be applied, at the cost of longer read-time by the optical scanning device.

5        Although in many examples it is appropriate to coat  
outer surfaces of the objects with the identifying  
particles, it is envisaged that liquids and other fluid  
materials such as drinks, fuels and perfumes could be marked  
by mixing with the microparticles. Even solid objects could  
be impregnated internally with the microparticles, or the  
10       microparticles could be mixed with fluid materials during  
the manufacture of the solid objects, e.g. in a mould.

15

20

25

## CLAIMS

1. A microparticle marked with a readable code which is invisible to the naked eye.
2. A microparticle according to Claim 1, in the form of a wafer whose thickness is from 0.1  $\mu$  to 5  $\mu$  and whose width and length are both in the range of 0.5  $\mu$  to 50  $\mu$ .
3. A microparticle according to Claim 1, comprising silicon or silicon dioxide.
4. A microparticle according to Claim 1, whose readable code is readable by an optical device.
5. A microparticle according to Claim 1, in which the code is representative of data comprising a multiplicity of bits.
6. A set of a multitude of substantially identically-encoded microparticles each according to Claim 1.
7. A set of microparticles according to Claim 6, all being of substantially the same size and shape.
8. A set of microparticles of which each has a predetermined shape representative of a unique code selected from a multiplicity of such codes.
9. A tagging compound comprising a powder, fluid or gas when mixed with one or more set or sets of microparticles according to Claim 6, such that the presence of the microparticles is undetectable to the naked eye.
10. A tagging compound comprising a powder, fluid or gas when mixed with one or more set or sets of microparticles according to Claim 8, such that the presence of the microparticles is undetectable to the naked eye.
11. A tagging compound according to Claim 9, comprising a

paint or ink or fluid dye.

12. A tagging compound according to Claim 9, comprising a smoke dye.

5 13. A container for tagging an object or objects with a readable code, containing a tagging compound according to Claim 9, and having means for dispensing the tagging compound from the container.

10 14. A method of marking an object invisibly with a machine-readable code, comprising applying to the object a set of microparticles according to Claim 6.

15 15. A method of marking an object invisibly with a machine-readable code, comprising applying to the object a set of microparticles according to Claim 8.

16 16. A method according to Claim 14 of marking a vehicle, in which the set of microparticles is part of a tagging compound according to Claim 11 and is applied as a coating to the vehicle surface.

20 17. A method according to Claim 14 of marking an inherently valuable item such as jewellery, in which the set of microparticles is part of a tagging compound according to Claim 11 and is applied as a transparent hardenable lacquer to the surface of the item.

25 18. A method according to Claim 14 of marking an inherently valuable item such as a plastics card, such as a credit or charge card, in which the set of microparticles is part of a tagging compound according to Claim 11 and is applied selectively as an ink or lacquer.

19. A security device for cash machines or other public

access dispensing devices, fitted with a container according to Claim 13 in the form of an automatically actuatable smoke cannister filled with a tagging compound with a smoke dye according to Claim 12.

5

10

15

20

25

**Amendments to the claims have been filed as follows**

**CLAIMS**

1. A microparticle which is invisible to the naked eye.  
and is marked with a machine readable code
2. A microparticle according to Claim 1, in the form of a  
5 wafer whose thickness is from  $0.1\mu$  to  $5\mu$  and whose width  
and length are both in the range of  $0.5\mu$  to  $50\mu$ .
3. A microparticle according to Claim 1, comprising  
silicon, silicon dioxide, or a metal.
4. A microparticle according to Claim 3, comprising  
10 aluminium, silver or gold.
5. A microparticle according to Claim 1, whose readable  
code is readable by an optical device.
6. A microparticle according to Claim 1, in which the code  
is representative of data comprising a multiplicity of bits.
- 15 7. A set of a multitude of substantially identically-  
encoded microparticles each according to Claim 1.
8. A set of microparticles according to Claim 6, all being  
of substantially the same size and shape.
9. A tagging compound comprising a powder, fluid or gas  
20 when mixed with one or more set or sets of microparticles  
according to Claim 7, such that the presence of the  
microparticles is undetectable to the naked eye.
10. A tagging compound comprising a powder, fluid or gas  
when mixed with one or more set or sets of microparticles of  
25 which each has a predetermined shape representative of a  
unique code selected from a multiplicity of such codes, such  
that the presence of the microparticles is undetectable to  
the naked eye.

11. A tagging compound according to Claim 9, comprising a paint or ink or fluid dye.
12. A tagging compound according to Claim 9, comprising a smoke dye.
- 5 13. A container for tagging an object or objects with a readable code, containing a tagging compound according to Claim 9, and having means for dispensing the tagging compound from the container.
14. A method of marking an object invisibly with a machine-readable code, comprising applying to the object a set of microparticles according to Claim 7.
- 10 15. A method according to Claim 14 of marking a vehicle, in which the set of microparticles is part of a tagging compound according to Claim 11 and is applied as a coating to the vehicle surface.
- 15 16. A method according to Claim 14 of marking an inherently valuable item such as jewellery, in which the set of microparticles is part of a tagging compound according to Claim 11 and is applied as a transparent hardenable lacquer to the surface of the item.
- 20 17. A method according to Claim 14 of marking an inherently valuable item such as a plastics card, such as a credit or charge card, in which the set of microparticles is part of a tagging compound according to Claim 11 and is applied selectively as an ink or lacquer.
- 25 18. A security device for cash machines or other public access dispensing devices, fitted with a container according to Claim 13 in the form of an automatically actuable smoke

cannister filled with a tagging compound with a smoke dye  
according to Claim 12.

5

10

15

20

25

**Relevant Technical Fields**

- (i) UK Cl (Ed.M) G4H (HJ)  
(ii) Int Cl (Ed.5) G06K, G08B

Search Examiner  
M J DAVIS

Date of completion of Search  
14 JUNE 1994

**Databases (see below)**

- (i) UK Patent Office collections of GB, EP, WO and US patent specifications.

Documents considered relevant  
following a search in respect of  
Claims :-  
1-19

- (ii) ONLINE: WPI

**Categories of documents**

- X:** Document indicating lack of novelty or of inventive step. **P:** Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of the present application.
- Y:** Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of the same category. **E:** Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of the present application.
- A:** Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art. **&:** Member of the same patent family; corresponding document.

Category	Identity of document and relevant passages	Relevant to claim(s)
X	US 4767205 (SCHWARTZ ET AL) whole document	1, 8 at least
X	US 4606927 (JONES) whole document	1 at least

**Databases:** The UK Patent Office database comprises classified collections of GB, EP, WO and US patent specifications as outlined periodically in the Official Journal (Patents). The on-line databases considered for search are also listed periodically in the Official Journal (Patents).